A Corpus to Learn Refer-to-as Relations for Nominals

Wasi Uddin Ahmad, and Kai-Wei Chang {wasiahmad, kwchang}@cs.ucla.edu

Department of Computer Science, University of California, Los Angeles

Objective

Continuous representations of words and phrases should contain information for identifying referto-as relationship. In this work:

• We construct a corpus to learn continuous

Number of articles	16,388,870	Т
Number of redirected articles	6,466,828	
Number of non-redirected articles	9,922,042	
Unique noun mentions	26,660,798	
Unique nominal mentions	2,512,347	
Unique nominal mentions	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Т
$(1 \le \text{mention length} \le 30)$	1,420,441	

Corpus Statistics

Troin	Total nominal coref. chain	78,665
(cre: Wilringdie)	Avg. candidates per chain	24
(SIC. WIKIPedia)	Total unique terms	35,939
Dovolopmont	Total nominal coref. chain	8,354
(cree Wilcipedie)	Avg. candidates per chain	18
(SIC. WIKIPedia)	Total unique terms	6,686
Toot	Total nominal coref. chain	623
(src: CoNLL)	Avg. candidates per chain	12
	Total unique terms	2,839

representations for nominals through which refer-to-as relations can be captured.

• We design a *mention* ranking task by simplifying the coreference resolution task to evaluate the learned nominal embeddings.

Table 1:Corpus description extracted from Wikipedia

Table 2:Data Description

Motivation

- Semantic representation of "phd candidate" and "graduate student" should indicate that they can be co-referred to each other.
- Refer-to-as relations can be resolved by taking help from knowledge source, ex., Wikipedia.

Nominal Coreference Example

"A female motorist wearing a blue shirt abruptly made a left turn, ignoring the officer's attempt to initiate a traffic stop. The driver continued to drive erratically to Annapolis Road."

Target Mention	Positive Candidates	Negative Candidates
protein sequence	amino acid sequencing, chain of amino	metabolic enzymes, biological muta-
	acids, peptide sequence, protein pri-	tions, periodic sequence, nucleotide se-
	mary structure	quence
general election	whole coalition, upcoming election, the	the constitutional amendment, election
	previous election, election campaign,	win, the presidential election, demo-
	legislative election	cratic political values
aerial bomb	aerial bombardment, bombing, bomb	nuclear bomb technology, terror at-
	attack	tacks, attack ground targets, atomic
		weapon
highway construction	roads road building equipment road	highway marker construction vard

Coreference Clusters generated from Wikipedia

• Both nominals, "A female motorist wearing a blue shirt" and "The driver" refer to the same entity.

Dataset Construction

- Each Wikipedia article is treated as an entity (or concept or idea), and the anchor text of in-links as a mention of the entity.
- Anchor texts are tagged using Stanford POS tagger and the non-capitalized noun phrases are considered as nominals.
- https://github.com/wasiahmad/mining_ wikipedia/tree/master/WikiMiner

Learning Phrase Embeddings

inginary construction roads, road building equipment, road inginary marker, construction yard, work construction, street construction, railway and highway bridge, construction superintendent road building

Table 3: Example of positive and negative coreference clusters generated from Wikipedia

Baseline Results

- Mention Embeddings: $Sim(p_1, p_2) = cosine(E(p_1), E(p_2))$ where $E(p) = \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} w_k$ and $p = w_1, \ldots, w_{n_p}$ • Mention Embeddings + FFNN: $Sim(p_1, p_2) = \sigma(u^T tanh(W[E(p_1), E(p_2)] + b))$ where $W \in R^{d_e \times d_e}$, $b, u \in R^{d_e}$, and $[E(p_1), E(p_2)]$ represents concatenation of the phrase embedding pair.
- Bidirectional-LSTM + CNN + FFNN: A BiLSTM followed by a CNN is used to form phrase vectors and a FFNN is used to compute the similarity score.

Model	NLL-Loss	MAP	P@1	P@5	R@1	R@5
Mention Embeddings	1.7389	0.5452	0.5185	0.2374	0.3715	0.7630
Mention Embeddings + FFNN	1.7836	0.4632	0.4995	0.2317	0.3516	0.7888
Bidirectional-LSTM + CNN + FFNN	1.6731	0.4884	0.4719	0.2475	0.3476	0.8025

Table 4:Performance of baseline methods.

- To evaluate the learned representation of noun phrases, we propose a ranking task:
- Given a target mention and a list of candidate mentions, the goal is to rank the mentions in the candidate list based on how likely it is co-referred with the target mention without considering the context.
- We learned the phrase embeddings based on the following neural network architecture:
- We use a bidirectional LSTM to learn word representations (contextualized) and use a CNN to construct phrase representations.
- Embeddings of the target mention and one of the candidate mentions are concatenated and passed through a feed-forward neural network to compute the similarity score.

Conclusion

In order to learn representations which can capture the refer-to-as relationship between nominals, we propose a corpus extracted from Wikipedia.

References

[1] P. Denis and J. Baldridge.

Specialized models and ranking for coreference resolution. In Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 660-669, 2008.

[2] S. J. Wiseman, A. M. Rush, S. M. Shieber, and J. Weston.

Learning anaphoricity and antecedent ranking features for coreference resolution.

In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 2015.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant IIS-1760523 and an NVIDIA Hardware Grant.

